IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

MONDAY, THE 25TH JUNE 2007 / 4TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 19289 of 2007(U)

---

PETITIONER:

---

SANTHIGIRI AYURVEDA COLLEGE,
PALAKKAD, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGER.

BY ADV. SRI.N.SUKUMARAN
SRI.S.SHYAM

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY TO HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. SHAMNA JABBAR, FATHIMA MANZIL,
KALLUVIAL, PALAMKONAM, PERUMKULAM P.O., ATTINGAL.

3. NISHA B.M., GOVERNMENT QUARTERS NO.C-6,
AYYANTHOLE POST, THRISSUR-3.

4. RENUKA DEVI.M., MAKKARAL ILLOM,
WARRIAM ROAD, KOCHI-16.

5. SILCY P.ITTOOP, PALAKKAL HOUSE,
SANTHI NAGAR, P.O.KUNNAMKULAM, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

6. ANJU SATHEESH, KURUKILEZHATHU VELI,
VAYALAR P.O., CHERTHALA.

7. SITHARA K.P., 'INDEEVARAM', 33/952,
NEAR LAW COLLEGE, CALICUT.

8. SUSMI C.T., CHUNGATH HOUSE,
CHANTHAPADI, PONNANI P.O., MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

9. ARUNA DEVI T.P., KAILAS, T.C.7/1244,
KUNDUVARA ROAD, CHEMBUKKAVU, THRISSUR.

10. VINCY.P.VARGHESE, PANNEKKETTU HOUSE,
KUTHIRAPPANTHY P.O., THAZHAVA, KARUNAGAPPALLY,
KOLLAM.

11. SATHEESH KUMAR.P., PULIKENCHERY,
ATTASSERY, S.K.PURAM, PALAKKAD.

12. SANUJA MURALIDHARAN, CHANKIRAZHIKATHU,
KAVANAD P.O., KOLLAM.

13. RAHUL.H., PRIYAM, KATTANAM P.O.,
KAYAMKULAM.

14. DEEPA.M.R., MANAKULAMPARAMBIL HOUSE,
P.O.RAMAVARMAPURAM, KATTUMUCKU, THRISSUR-31.

15. SIMI SALIM, SWAPNA, THAZHAMEL,
ANCHAL P.O., KOLLAM.

16. DEEPTHY.D.R., VETTUTHARA PUTHEN VEEDU,
NEENDAKARA P.O., KOLLAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. I.V. PRAMOD.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 25/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**S. SIRI JAGAN, J.**

**----------**

**W.P.(C)NO. 19289 OF 2007**

**----------**

**DATED THIS THE 25th DAY OF JUNE, 2007**

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner is a self financing Ayurveda College. Respondents 2 to 16 have been admitted to the petitioner's College against the seats sanctioned for 2002-2003 from the Government merit list. There is a dispute regarding the rates of fees payable by respondents 2 to 16 for the year 2003-2004. Although this Court directed the 1st respondent to take decision in the matter by Ext.P4 judgment, no decision has been taken so far. Therefore, the petitioner filed another representation, – Ext.P5 on 18.10.2006 which also still remains undisposed of. The petitioner submits that since respondents 2 to 16 would be leaving the College shortly, if the issue is not finally decided, the petitioner would not be in a position to realise the fees due to them. Therefore, the petitioner seeks a direction to consider and pass orders on Ext.P5 within a short time.

2. I have heard the learned Government pleader also. In the facts and circumstances of the case, there would be a direction to the 1st respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P5 filed by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within one

month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

**S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE**

Acd